# Díscussion on Correspondence

Initial slides: Steven B. Giddings

#### Reformulation of questions



What properties should it have?

How/what does it predict?

How does it explain black holes? What is the underlying dynamics ("Nonlocal mechanics")

ls it strings? "AdS/CFT"

How does it explain cosmology, inflation, etc.

Does it predict a landscape? Are there observational consequences?

One possible set of limitations: "locality bound:" 2 part Fock sp.:  $\phi_{x,p}\phi_{y,q}|0\rangle$ good description for  $|x-y|^{D-3} > G|p+q|$ Observation: If "observing" degrees of freedom must be accounted for (relational observables), then expect limit on observation



"Resum" pert thy, giving partial QFT description inside black hole, away from singularity, and for  $t < R_S S_{BH}$ 

good for many quantities, but no complete local quantum description? N-particle generalization:

not good for

$$\phi_{x_1,p_1} \cdots \phi_{x_N,p_N} |0\rangle$$
$$\operatorname{Max}|x_i - x_j|^{D-3} < G|\sum_i P_i$$

### Líkewise, expected to constrain observation

### One example: "Ultímate detector" (S.G, Marolf, Hartle hep-th/0512200)

Try to instrument a region of space of size R with a state capable of making measurements at resolution r

Requires exciting fields with momenta 1/r in each "cell" of size r. Total energy:  $E \sim \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^3$ 

Condition for small grav. backreaction:

 $R \gtrsim \frac{1}{M_{P}^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{3}$ 

## Strong ~ holographic constraint:

 $N(R) \sim (M_P R)^{3/2}$ 

(c.f. 't Hooft; Cohen, Kaplan, Nelson)

(Possibly get  $N(R) \sim (M_P R)^2$ , accounting for grav DOF (or different eq. of state??))

Plausible viewpoint: degrees of freedom that can't in principle be observed don't exist

These are suggestions for the "correspondence limit." (Similar suggestions exist for dS)

1) Are there others?

2) To what extent should they be taken seriously in formulating fundamental theory?