# Erratum: Measurement of energy decay in superconducting qubits from nonequilibrium quasiparticles 
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In Eq. (C7) of Ref. [1], the coupled differential equations for the change in the number $n_{i}$ of quasiparticles at energy bin $i$ are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} n_{i}=G_{j i}^{s} n_{j}-\sum_{j} G_{i j}^{s} n_{i}-\sum_{j}\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) G_{i j}^{r} n_{j} n_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i \rightarrow j}^{s}=\sum_{j} G_{i j}^{s}$ is the scattering rate from energy bin $i$ to energy bin $j$ and $\Gamma_{i, j}^{r}=\sum_{j} G_{i j}^{r} n_{j}$ is the recombination rate of quasiparticles in energy bins $i$ and $j$. In this erratum, we show that these equations should instead be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} n_{i}=\sum_{j} G_{j i}^{s} n_{j}-\sum_{j} G_{i j}^{s} n_{i}-\sum_{j} 2 G_{i j}^{r} n_{j} n_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor of 2 in the recombination term is due to treating a quasiparticle in energy bin $i$ recombining with one in $j$ separately from one in $j$ recombining with one in $i$. This arises from the definition of the recombination rate. To see this more clearly, consider a population of quasiparticles with density $n=n_{q p} / n_{c p}$ and a recombination matrix element $\Gamma$. Then the recombination rate is $\Gamma n^{2}$, so the loss rate of quasiparticles is $2 \Gamma n^{2}$ due to the loss of a pair of quasiparticles for each recombination event.

Now suppose that this population is split evenly into two energy bins, A and B, with nearly identical energies, so the recombination matrix element for all recombination events is $\Gamma$. Then, the loss rate for each bin should be $\Gamma n^{2}$. According to Eq. (1), but including a symmetric loss for A and B, the loss rates for each possible recombination event are:

| Recombination Pair | A-A | B-B | A-B | B-A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy Bin A | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $\Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma n^{2}$ |
| Energy Bin B | 0 | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma n^{2}$ |

Note that if recombination process B-A is not considered, the total loss rate in each channel would instead be $(3 / 4) \Gamma n^{2}$, not the expected $\Gamma n^{2}$. Because of symmetry, it is easier to account for this by using a loss rate of $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ in the first bin of the interaction and zero in the second bin, giving rise to Eq. (2) as indicated below:

| Recombination Pair | A-A | B-B | A-B | B-A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy Bin A | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $\Gamma n^{2}$ |
| Energy Bin B | 0 | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $2 \Gamma(n / 2)^{2}$ | $\Gamma n^{2}$ |

Note that similar behavior can be seen for subdividing the original energy bin into three or four equal bins.
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