Erratum: Measurement of energy decay in superconducting qubits from nonequilibrium quasiparticles

J. Wenner¹ and John M. Martinis¹

¹Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

In Eq. (C7) of Ref. [1], the coupled differential equations for the change in the number n_i of quasiparticles at energy bin i are given as

$$\frac{d}{dt}n_{i} = G_{ji}^{s}n_{j} - \sum_{j}G_{ij}^{s}n_{i} - \sum_{j}(1+\delta_{ij})G_{ij}^{r}n_{j}n_{i},$$
(1)

where $\Gamma_{i\to j}^s = \sum_j G_{ij}^s$ is the scattering rate from energy bin *i* to energy bin *j* and $\Gamma_{i,j}^r = \sum_j G_{ij}^r n_j$ is the recombination rate of quasiparticles in energy bins *i* and *j*. In this erratum, we show that these equations should instead be

$$\frac{d}{dt}n_{i} = \sum_{j} G_{ji}^{s}n_{j} - \sum_{j} G_{ij}^{s}n_{i} - \sum_{j} 2G_{ij}^{r}n_{j}n_{i}.$$
(2)

The factor of 2 in the recombination term is due to treating a quasiparticle in energy bin *i* recombining with one in *j* separately from one in *j* recombining with one in *i*. This arises from the definition of the recombination rate. To see this more clearly, consider a population of quasiparticles with density $n = n_{qp}/n_{cp}$ and a recombination matrix element Γ . Then the recombination rate is Γn^2 , so the loss rate of quasiparticles is $2\Gamma n^2$ due to the loss of a pair of quasiparticles for each recombination event.

Now suppose that this population is split evenly into two energy bins, A and B, with nearly identical energies, so the recombination matrix element for all recombination events is Γ . Then, the loss rate for each bin should be Γn^2 . According to Eq. (1), but including a symmetric loss for A and B, the loss rates for each possible recombination event are:

Recombination Pair	A-A	B-B	A-B	B-A	Total
Energy Bin A	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	0	$\Gamma(n/2)^2$	$\Gamma(n/2)^2$	Γn^2
Energy Bin B	0	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	$\Gamma(n/2)^2$	$\Gamma(n/2)^2$	Γn^2

Note that if recombination process B-A is not considered, the total loss rate in each channel would instead be $(3/4)\Gamma n^2$, not the expected Γn^2 . Because of symmetry, it is easier to account for this by using a loss rate of $2\Gamma (n/2)^2$ in the first bin of the interaction and zero in the second bin, giving rise to Eq. (2) as indicated below:

Recombination Pair	A-A	B-B	A-B	B-A	Total
Energy Bin A	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	0	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	0	Γn^2
Energy Bin B	0	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	0	$2\Gamma(n/2)^2$	$ \Gamma n^2 $

Note that similar behavior can be seen for subdividing the original energy bin into three or four equal bins.

¹ M. Lenander *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 024501 (2011).