

1 Problem 2.7

We can express any state as a sum of number states:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_n C_n |n\rangle$$

So...

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'\rangle &= \hat{a} |\psi\rangle \\ &= N \sum_n C_n \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle\psi'|\psi'\rangle &= N^2 \sum_n C_n^2 n \quad (\text{due to orthogonality of } |n\rangle) \\ &= N^2 \bar{n} \\ \Rightarrow N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{n}}} \end{aligned}$$

What about \bar{n}' ?

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{n}' &= \langle\psi'|\hat{n}|\psi'\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{n}} \sum_n C_n^2 n(n-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{n}} (\langle n^2 \rangle - \bar{n}) \\ &= \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle}{\bar{n}} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

This is not equal to $\bar{n} - 1$ unless $\langle n^2 \rangle = \langle n \rangle^2$, or in other words $|\psi\rangle$ is a number state to begin with! This is because a photon has a higher probability of being absorbed from a higher excited state (stimulated absorption).

2 Problem 2.8

It should be obvious that $\bar{n} = 5$.

Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{n}' &= \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle}{\bar{n}} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}(0^2) + \frac{1}{2}(10^2)}{5} - 1 \\ &= 9?\end{aligned}$$

This may seem strange, but the output from the (normalized) annihilation operator is weighted – the ground state ends up with a weight of 0, hence it does not contribute! ($N\hat{a}|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |9\rangle$, so the final state only has a term due to the $|10\rangle$ part of the wavefunction.)

Extended explanation: Having a process where you “know” a photon is absorbed implies some sort of “post-selection” is going on – this is actually relatively common in experimental quantum optics. If a photon was definitely absorbed, the state couldn’t have been in the ground state to begin with; some how this is equivalent to measuring the state *before* the absorption process and finding it to be in the excited state, $|10\rangle$ (and ignoring the cases where it wasn’t).

So... how does this work in theory? To conserve energy, an annihilation operator for one mode should be coupled to a creation operator for another state of the same energy (or several creation operators for different states whose energy adds up to the original state). A physically sensible operator should look more like this:

$$\hat{O} \sim 1 + N \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger \right),$$

where \hat{b} is the annihilation operator for the second mode, which was previously ignored. The $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}$ term is needed for time-reversal symmetry, although it doesn’t really matter here. Our initial state for the complete system must be something like this:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle_a + |10\rangle_a) \otimes |0\rangle_b,$$

where we assume the second mode is in the ground state. We then apply the operator:

$$\hat{O}|\psi\rangle \sim (|0\rangle_a + |10\rangle_a) \otimes |0\rangle_b + N\sqrt{9}|9\rangle_a \otimes |1\rangle_b$$

If we wish to consider only states where we *know* a photon was absorbed from mode A, we can measure mode B and *post-select* for the states in which it is excited, which looks like:

$$\langle 1|_b \hat{O}|\psi\rangle \sim |9\rangle_a$$

Thus, we recover the effect of an annihilation operator by using a physical operator *plus* post-selection on a measurement. This implies, *by necessity*, that we are actually ignoring the part of the wavefunction where nothing happened.

3 Problem 2.10

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_n n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1)P_n &= \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} P_n \\
 &= \frac{1}{1+\bar{n}} \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} x^n; \quad \text{from 2.145 with } x = \frac{\bar{n}}{1+\bar{n}} \\
 &= \frac{x^r}{1+\bar{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+r)!}{m!} x^m; \quad \text{where } m = n-r \\
 &= \frac{x^r}{1+\bar{n}} r! (1-x)^{-(r+1)} \\
 &= \left(\frac{r!}{1+\bar{n}} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{1+\bar{n}} \right)^r (1+\bar{n})^{r+1} \\
 &= r! \bar{n}^r
 \end{aligned}$$

4 Problem 2.12

For the mixed state, start with (2.229):

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \phi | \hat{\rho} | \phi \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\underbrace{\langle \phi | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \phi \rangle}_1 + \underbrace{\langle \phi | 1 \rangle \langle 1 | \phi \rangle}_1 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the superposition, start with (2.226):

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \sum_n e^{-in\phi} C_n \right|^2 \\
 C_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{1, e^{i\phi}, 0, \dots\} \\
 \Rightarrow P(\phi) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} |1 + e^{i(\theta-\phi)}|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1 + \cos(\theta - \phi)}{2\pi}
 \end{aligned}$$

The mixed state has a flat distribution – this is expected because it is just a statistical mixture of two number states, and number states have a flat phase distribution (no phase information). A superposition of two number states, however, does have phase information, which results in a lumped distribution.

5 Problem 2.13

The density operator of the thermal state in the number basis is given by (2.138):

$$\hat{\rho}_{Th} = \sum_n P_n |n\rangle \langle n|,$$

but this is just a statistical mixture of number states. As with the last problem, this means that the distribution is flat: $P(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$. Of course it has to be, there should be no reason for a thermal state to prefer a specific phase!

6 Problem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^\dagger |\alpha\rangle &= \alpha |\alpha\rangle \\ &= \sum_n C_n \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle \\ &= \alpha \sum_n C_n |n\rangle \\ \Rightarrow C_n \sqrt{n+1} &= \alpha C_{n+1} \\ \Rightarrow C_{n+1} &= \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\alpha} C_n \end{aligned}$$

Which means that C_n monotonically increases with n . This means the state is not normalizable and hence non-physical.

7 Problem 3.4

The easiest way to prove this is to calculate the partial derivative.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} |\alpha\rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} e^{-\frac{\alpha\alpha^*}{2}} \sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \\
&= -\frac{\alpha^*}{2} |\alpha\rangle + e^{-\frac{\alpha\alpha^*}{2}} \sum_n \frac{n\alpha^{n-1}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \quad (\text{Mathematical reminder: } \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \alpha^* = 0) \\
&= -\frac{\alpha^*}{2} |\alpha\rangle + e^{-\frac{\alpha\alpha^*}{2}} \sum_n \frac{(n+1)\alpha^n}{\sqrt{(n+1)!}} |n+1\rangle \quad (\text{Because the } n=0 \text{ term is } 0!) \\
&= -\frac{\alpha^*}{2} |\alpha\rangle + e^{-\frac{\alpha\alpha^*}{2}} \sum_n \frac{\sqrt{n+1}\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n+1\rangle \\
&= -\frac{\alpha^*}{2} |\alpha\rangle + \hat{a}^\dagger |\alpha\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \langle \alpha| = -\frac{\alpha^*}{2} \langle \alpha|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| &= (\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| \\
\Rightarrow \hat{a}^\dagger |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| &= \left(\alpha^* + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of second identity is nearly identical.

8 Problem 3.5

First we calculate $\langle \hat{X} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \\
\langle \hat{X}_1 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha| \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger | \alpha \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha^*) \langle \alpha| \alpha \rangle \\
&= \text{Re}(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{X}_1^2 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{1}{4} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} | \alpha \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^2 + 2\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 1 + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} | \alpha \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{4} (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\alpha^* + \alpha^{*2} + 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} [(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2 + 1] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \text{Re}(\alpha)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (\Delta \hat{X}_1)^2 \rangle_\alpha = \langle \hat{X}_1^2 \rangle_\alpha - \langle \hat{X}_1 \rangle_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\hat{X}_2 = \frac{1}{2i} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

...

$$\langle \hat{X}_2 \rangle_\alpha = \text{Im}(\alpha)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{X}_2^2 \rangle_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{4} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^2 - \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} | \alpha \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^2 - 2\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} - 1 + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} | \alpha \rangle \\
&\dots \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \text{Im}(\alpha)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (\Delta \hat{X}_2)^2 \rangle_\alpha = \langle \hat{X}_2^2 \rangle_\alpha - \langle \hat{X}_2 \rangle_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$