Homework 7 Solutions

1. Since we know the expansion rate v, we can assume that the radius has been increasing at a constant rate and, if we know its current radius R, find the expansion time t via $R \approx vt$.

The diameter D of the Ring nebula is related to its angular size α (in arcsec) and distance d via the small angle formula:

$$D = \frac{\alpha d}{206265}$$

We multiply both sides by 1/2 to get the radius (R = D/2), set the expression equal to vt, and solve for t:

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha d}{206265} = vt$$
$$t = \frac{\alpha d}{2 \cdot v \cdot 206265}$$

If we take $\alpha = 1.0$ arcmin, convert α to arcsec, d from ly to km, we get an expansion age of

$$t = \frac{1}{2(20 \text{ km/s})(206265)} \cdot \left(1.0' \times \frac{60''}{1'}\right) \left(2700 \text{ ly} \times \frac{9.46 \times 10^{12} \text{ km}}{1 \text{ ly}}\right)$$

$$= 1.857 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}$$

$$= 5900 \text{ yr}$$

If we take $\alpha = 1.4$ arcmin, then the expansion age is $1.4 \times (5900 \text{ yr}) = 8300 \text{ yr}$.

Hence the central star shed its outer layers at a time between 5900 and 8300 years ago.

- 2. Both a white dwarf and a brown dwarf are supported by degenerate-electron pressure, whereas a red dwarf is supported by ideal gas pressure. Objects supported by degenerate-electron pressure obey a mass-radius relation where a more massive object has a smaller radius. As a white dwarf is more massive than a brown dwarf, a white dwarf has a smaller radius than a brown dwarf. A red dwarf is more massive than a brown dwarf and has a larger radius than a brown dwarf as it is being supported by ideal gas pressure. Hence its radius is also smaller than that of a white dwarf.
- 3. (a) For two objects orbiting around each other, we can relate the orbital separation a to their individual masses $(M_1 \text{ and } M_2)$ to the orbital period P via Kepler's law, which is expressed as follows in SI units:

$$P^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{G(M_1 + M_2)}a^3$$

One could also use Kepler's third law but in units relevant to the Earth's orbit (orbital separation a in AU, orbital period in yr, and masses in M_{\odot}):

$$(P[yr])^2 = \frac{(a[AU])^3}{M_1[M_{\odot}] + M_2[M_{\odot}]}$$

We solve for a, convert the given orbital period from days to years, to find

$$\frac{a}{\text{AU}} = \left[\left(\frac{P}{\text{yr}} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{M_1}{M_{\odot}} + \frac{M_2}{M_{\odot}} \right) \right]^{1/3}$$

$$\frac{a}{\text{AU}} = \left[\left(\frac{19.56 \text{ day} \times 1 \text{ yr}/365.25 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{18 M_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}} + \frac{34 M_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}} \right) \right]^{1/3}$$

$$a = \boxed{0.53 \text{ AU}}$$

- (b) The semimajor axes of the orbits of Mercury, Venus and Earth are 0.387, 0.723 and 1 AU respectively. The orbital separation we found in part (a) is 1.38 times the semimajor axis of the orbit of Mercury, and 0.733 and 0.53 times those of Venus and Earth.
- 4. (a) The brightness of a star b is related to its luminosity L and distance d from us via the inverse square law:

$$b = \frac{L}{4\pi d^2}$$

We can read off the peak luminosity of a type II supernova from the light curve shown in Figure 20-11. Luminosity is plotted in regular intervals of powers of 10, i.e. in log intervals. The peak of the type II supernova light curve lies roughly two-thirds between $10^8 L_{\odot}$ and $10^9 L_{\odot}$, i.e. its logarithm is roughly $8+2/3 \approx 8.7$, so we can roughly estimate its peak luminosity as $L_{\rm peak} \approx 10^{8.7} \approx 5 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$.

We now take the ratio of the peak brightness of Betelgeuse to the brightness of the Sun, since we know their luminosities ($L_{\rm peak}$ and L_{\odot} respectively) and distances from us ($d_{\rm Betelgeuse} = 425$ ly and $d_{\odot} = 1$ AU respectively):

$$\begin{split} \frac{b_{\rm peak}}{\mathbf{b}_{\odot}} &= \frac{L_{\rm peak}}{4\pi d_{\rm Betelgeuse}^2} \cdot \frac{4\pi d_{\odot}^2}{L_{\odot}} \\ &= \frac{L_{\rm peak}}{L_{\odot}} \cdot \left(\frac{d_{\odot}}{d_{\rm Betelgeuse}}\right)^2 \\ &= (5 \times 10^8) \left(\frac{1 \text{ AU}}{425 \text{ ly} \times \frac{63240 \text{ AU}}{1 \text{ ly}}}\right)^2 \\ b_{\rm peak} &\approx \boxed{7 \times 10^{-7} \, \mathbf{b}_{\odot}} \end{split}$$

As a side note, the difference in apparent magnitudes of two stars is related to the ratio of their brightness via

$$m_2 - m_1 = 2.5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{b_1}{b_2} \right)$$

If we take object 2 as the Sun $(m_{\odot} = -26.7)$, and object 1 as the type II supernova produced by Betelgeuse, at peak brightness, then

$$m_{\odot} - m_{\text{peak}} = 2.5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{b_{\text{peak}}}{b_{\odot}}\right)$$

$$m_{\text{peak}} = m_{\odot} - 2.5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{b_{\text{peak}}}{b_{\odot}}\right)$$

$$= -26.7 - 2.5 \log_{10} (7 \times 10^{-7})$$

$$m_{\text{peak}} \approx -11$$

A full moon has an apparent magnitude of -12.6, so the supernova formed by Betelgeuse may become almost as bright as a full moon!

(b) The brightness of the supernova is about 700 times that of Venus.